APSU Conference for Dam Safety

13th -14th November 2021



Themes # 1 Seismic hazard analysis and mapping ASSESSMENT OF SEISMIC HAZARD IN NORTHERN ALGERIA EXPERIENCE OF PLAN ORSEC National Emergency Action Plan (ORSEC Plan)

Energoprojekt-Hidroinzenjering l.t.d. Serbia



Energoprojekt - Hidroinženjering J.S.C has been successful in engineering seismology and earthquake engineering in the country and abroad, for several decades.

This implies:

- **1.** Seismic hazard analysis
 - a) Regional seismic studies
 - b) Seismic studies for dams and ancillary facilities
- 2. Seismic micro-reionization
- 3. Analysis for potential Reservoir Triggered Earthquakes (RTE)
- 4. Analysis of seismogenic capacities of specific faults
- 5. Seismic auscultations on the dams



APSU Conference for Dam Safety



The region of Northern Algeria has been struck by the following three disastrous earthquakes in :

- > 09.09.1954 (Ms=6.8, h=5km), Orleansville, in Chelif area,
- > 10.10.1980. (Ms=7.3, h=10 km) El Asnam, in Chelif area and
- > 21.05.2003 (Mw=6.8, h=10km) in the vicinity of Boumerdes.

It has been assessed that only in the period between 1716 and 1989, the earthquakes caused death of more than 34,440 people.

The earthquake in Boumerdes

- magnitude was Mw=6.8,
- focal depth h=10 km,
- whereas duration of shaking was T=18 s.







CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ALGERIAN EARTHQUAKES

- 1. Earthquakes are generally shallow, approximately 10 km
- 2. They are often caused by faults which were not known previously
 - a) underwater faults
 - b) blind faults
- 3. Minor dimensions of the fault may generate magnitudes stronger than expected (examples: El Asnam and Boumerdes)
- 4. Seismic accelerations may be greater than those expected (example: Boumerdes)



ORSEC PLAN (NATIONAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN) FOR 28 DAMS LOCATED IN THE REGIONS CENTER AND EAST IN THE NORTHERN ALGERIA

Ministère des Ressources en Eau - Agence Nationale des Barrages et Transferts



APSU Conference for Dam Safety



INVESTIGATED DAMS

Region Center

Region Est



APSU Conference for Dam Safety



		PGA (g)	PGA (g)	PGA(g)	
Dam	Year of the construction	projected	RPA-99	RPA-99(2003)	Seismometers
01.Keddara	1985	0.25	0.25	0.30	yes
02.Hamiz	1879(1935)	0.30	0.25	0.30	no
03.Meurad	1867	x	0.25	0.40	no
04.Lekhal	1985	x	0.25	0.25	no
05.Bouroumi	1986	0.18	0.25	0.30	no
06.Harbil	1989	x	0.25	0.30	no
07.Ladrat	1989	0.20	0.25	0.25	no
08.Boukourdane	1992	0.25	0.25	0.40	yes
09. Amrane	1988	0.24	0.25	0.30	yes
10. K'Sob	1940	x	0.25	0.25	no
11.Ain Zada	1986	0.13	0.25	0.25	no
12.Taksebt	2002	0.12	0.25	0.25	yes
13. Cheffia	1965	0.39	0.25	0.25	yes
14. Zardezas	1974	0.40	0.25	0.25	no
15. Guenitra	1984	x	0.25	0.25	no
16.HammamGrouz	1987	0.27	0.25	0.25	yes
17.HammamDebagh	1987	0.17	0.25	0.25	yes
18. Ain Dalia	1987	0.30	0.12	0.15	no
19. Zid	1997	0.27	0.25	0.25	no
20. Babar	1995	0.36	0.12	0.15	no
21. Oued Cherf	1995	0.20	0.12	0.15	no
22. Mexa	2002	0.35	0.25	0.25	no
23.F. Gazelles	2000	x	0.12	0.15	no
24. Zit El Emba	2001	x	0.25	0.25	no
25. El Agrem	2002	0.25	0.25	0.25	no
26. Haroun	2002	0.30	0.25	0.25	yes
27.Foum ElGueiss	1939	0.33	0.12	0.15	no
28.Foum ElGherza	1957	x	0.12	0.15	no

APSU Conference for Dam Safety

13th -14th November 2021





Boukourdane



Meurad



Keddara



Beni Amrane



Hamiz

APSU Conference for Dam Safety

APPLIED METHODOLOGY

By introducing the given risk level and structure lifetime in the calculations, relations for a return period are obtained independently from the analyzed value. As according to Poisson's distribution:

R=(1-
$$e^{-te/Tr}$$
)=> Tr = $\frac{t_e}{\ln(1/R)}$

Where:

- R given seismic risk level
- t_e structure lifetime in years
- T_r return period of the analyzed phenomenon

The above relation gives the return period Tr = 144.26 years for the lifetime of 100 years and risk level of R = 50%, Tr= 218 years for the risk level of R \cong 37% (1/e), Tr = 949.12 years for the maximal design earthquake with the risk level of R = 10% and Tr = 9950 years for the maximal design earthquake with the risk level of R = 1%.

Seismic hazard maps of Northeast Algeria were prepared for these return periods, by using the average value from the four applied attenuation relations.



Number	Dam	Maximum peak acceleration (cm/s²)
1	Zardezas	467,23
2	Hammam Debagh	459,41
3	Guenitra	351,60
4	Zit Emba	309,10
5	Hammam Grouz	287,39
6	Oued Cherf	271,30
7	Foum El Gherza	258,62
8	Zid	250,86
9	F. Des Gazelles	245,61
10	Mexa	209,28
11	Beni-Haroun	208,66
12	Foum El Gueiss	208,44
13	El Agrem	204,17
14	Cheffia	189,82
15	Babar	162,54
16	Ain Dalia	127,30

Number	Dam	Maximum peak acceleration (cm/s ²)
1	Bouroumi	445,45
2	Hamiz	400,95
3	Meurad	383,77
4	Lekhal	382,17
5	Boukourdane	377,66
6	Harbil	366,49
7	K'Sob	347,87
8	Taksbet	338,05
9	Beni-Amrane	332,38
10	Ain-Zada	325,48
11	Keddara	280,38
12	Ladrat	267,19

The tables present the values of the maximum peak accelerations obtained for the return period of Tr = 10,000 years, increased by 1σ

APSU Conference for Dam Safety



Carte des accélérations horizontales de pointe pour la période de retour de 144.26 ans. Region Centre



zone de accélération horizontale de pointe (cm/s2))



APSU Conference for Dam Safety



Carte des accélérations horizontales de pointe pour la période de retour de 218.00 ans. Region Centre





APSU Conference for Dam Safety



Carte des accélérations horizontales de pointe pour la période de retour de 950 ans. Region Centre



zone de accélération horizontale de pointe (cm/s2))

	ALGER	Villes principales
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	•	
శ్భ్భ్భ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్ళ్	LADRAT	Site de barrage

13th -14th November 2021

APSU Conference for Dam Safety



Carte des accélérations horizontales de pointe pour la période de retour de 9950 ans.Region Centre



22 23 24 25 26 27 28

in to

2

431 AA1

15

LADRAT

Site de barrage

30 201

no an

APSU Conference for Dam Safety

291

28

30'

an an an an



- 1. The dams analyzed within this Project, in relation to the time when they were designed and constructed, mostly satisfy the current International and local Algerian Standards.
- 2. Method of operation of these dams was predominantly in compliance with the operation manuals and instructions.
- 3. The dams withstood even higher values of peak ground accelerations than the PGAs for which they were designed for.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

APSU Conference for Dam Safety